arXiv:1212.6334v1 [math.CA] 27 Dec 2012

# ON A TRILINEAR FORM RELATED TO THE CARLESON THEOREM

VJEKOSLAV KOVAČ

Abstract. The main purpose of this short note is to present an adaptation of the multilinear Bellman function technique from [4] to the time-frequency analysis. Demeter and Thiele introduced the two-dimensional bilinear Hilbert transform in [3] and showed that the Carleson operator can be identified in particular instances of the corresponding trilinear form. Demeter considered the Walsh model of one such form in [2], in relation to the discussion of the Walsh-Carleson theorem. We prove boundedness of this trilinear form for a single triple of exponents at the boundary of the previously established range.

## 1. Introduction

The bilinear Hilbert transform is associated with the trilinear form

$$\Lambda_1(f_1,f_2,f_3) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(x-t) f_2(x+t) \frac{dt}{t}\Big) f_3(x)\,dx.$$

It is a fundamental object in multilinear analysis and its boundedness in a certain range of $\mathrm{L}^p$ spaces was first shown by Lacey and Thiele in a pair of groundbreaking papers [6],[7]. Its two-dimensional analogue was defined by Demeter and Thiele in [3]. It can be written as

$$\Lambda_2(F_1,F_2,F_3) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Big(\text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F_1\big((x,y)+A_1(s,t)\big)\, F_2\big((x,y)+A_2(s,t)\big)\, K(s,t)\,dsdt\Big) F_3(x,y)\,dxdy,$$

where $K$ is a translation-invariant Calderón-Zygmund kernel and $A_1, A_2$ are linear maps on $\mathbb{R}^2$. A classification of these objects with respect to $A_1$ and $A_2$ was given in [3], and it was shown that

$$|\Lambda_2(F_1,F_2,F_3)| \leq C_{p_1,p_2,p_3} \|F_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|F_2\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|F_3\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \tag{1}$$

when $2<p_1,p_2,p_3<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_3}=1$, for most of the cases, while the remaining case was studied by the author in [5]. An interesting observation from [3] is that boundedness of the linearized Carleson operator

$$(\mathrm{C}_N f)(x) := \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x+s) e^{iN(x)s} \frac{ds}{s}$$

on $\mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R})$, $2<p<\infty$, can be deduced from (1) when one chooses the linear maps and the functions appropriately. Indeed, the Carleson theorem on a.e. convergence of Fourier series of a square integrable function would follow from (1) if the estimate was extended to $p_1=2$.

We turn to the dyadic model (a.k.a. Walsh model) of $\Lambda_2$, where the Calderón-Zygmund kernel $K$ will be replaced by

$$K_{\mathrm{W}}(s,t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{2k} \mathbf{1}_{[0,2^{-k})}(s) \mathbf{h}_{[0,2^{-k})}(t).$$

Here $\mathbf{1}_I$ denotes the characteristic function of an interval $I$, while $\mathbf{h}_I$ is the $\mathrm{L}^\infty$ normalized Haar wavelet,

$$\mathbf{h}_I := \mathbf{1}_{\text{left half of } I} - \mathbf{1}_{\text{right half of } I}.$$

For any $x,y\in[0,\infty)$ written in the binary system as $x=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j 2^j$, $y=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} y_j 2^j$ we set

$$x\oplus y := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \big((x_j+y_j) \bmod 2\big)\, 2^j.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20.

*Key words and phrases.* multilinear form, bilinear Hilbert transform, Carleson theorem, Walsh function, Bellman function.

The author is partially supported by the MZOS grant 037-0372790-2799 of the Republic of Croatia.

In that way the binary operation $\oplus\colon [0,\infty)\times[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ is a.e. well-defined. Demeter considers the following trilinear form in [2]:

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}(F_1,F_2,F_3) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{2k} \int_{[0,1)^4} F_1(x\oplus s, y\oplus t)\, F_2(x\oplus t, y)\, F_3(x,y) \mathbf{1}_{[0,2^{-k})}(s)\, \mathbf{h}_{[0,2^{-k})}(t)\, dxdydsdt. \quad (2)$$

The material in Section 8 of [2] is a less technical variant of the proof from [3] and it establishes Estimate (1) for $\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}$ in the same region of $(p_1,p_2,p_3)$. In this paper we modestly expand the range of exponents by a single point, namely $(2,4,4)$. This choice of exponents is interesting because of $p_1=2$ and the analogy with the previously mentioned continuous case.

**Theorem 1.** *Trilinear form $\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}$, defined by (2) for dyadic step functions $F_1,F_2,F_3$, satisfies the estimate*

$$|\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}(F_1,F_2,F_3)| \,\leq\, 7\,\|F_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^2([0,1)^2)}\|F_2\|_{\mathrm{L}^4([0,1)^2)}\|F_3\|_{\mathrm{L}^4([0,1)^2)}.$$

The emphasis is on the method we use and on simplicity of the proof, avoiding any tree decomposition or tree selection algorithms. First, we decompose $\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}$ in the $\frac{3}{2}$-dimensional phase space, invented by Demeter and Thiele in [3] for the same purpose. Then we adapt the multilinear Bellman function technique from [4] to this time-frequency setting.

We have to remark that Theorem 1 does not imply a.e. convergence of Walsh-Fourier series of a function in $\mathrm{L}^2([0,1))$, originally shown by Billard in [1]. To reprove that result, one would have to establish the same estimate for a trilinear form obtained by inserting absolute values around each term of the time-frequency decomposition of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}$. However, such proof would probably require techniques used in [3], so it is not very likely that this short self-contained argument would still apply. On the other hand, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}$ is still a sufficiently nontrivial object and any result on its boundedness almost certainly requires some time-frequency analysis.

Our approach is mainly inspired by an unpublished proof by F. Nazarov of the $(\mathrm{L}^3,\mathrm{L}^3,\mathrm{L}^3)$ bound for the one-dimensional bilinear Hilbert transform in characteristic 3. There is also some similarity with "time-frequency swapping" from the proof of the nonlinear Plancherel inequality by Muscalu, Tao, and Thiele in [8]. The motivation for writing this note lies in scarcity of examples of proofs where one constructs a Bellman function that also depends on the frequency, besides the position and the scale as usually.

## 2. Time-frequency decomposition of the form

Let $\mathcal{D}_+$ denote the family of *dyadic intervals* in $[0,\infty)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}_+ := \big\{\big[2^{-k}\ell, 2^{-k}(\ell+1)\big) \,:\, k,\ell\in\mathbb{Z},\ \ell\geq 0\big\}.$$

For each $I\in\mathcal{D}_+$ its left half will be denoted $I_0$ and its right half will be denoted $I_1$. These are also dyadic intervals. A *dyadic step function* on $\mathbb{R}$ will simply be a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of intervals from $\mathcal{D}_+$. Similarly, a dyadic step function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ means a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of dyadic rectangles, i.e. rectangles with sides in $\mathcal{D}_+$.

Take a dyadic interval $I=\big[2^{-k}\ell, 2^{-k}(\ell+1)\big)\in\mathcal{D}_+$ and a nonnegative integer $n=\sum_{j=0}^{m} n_j 2^j$. We can define the ($\mathrm{L}^\infty$-normalized) *Walsh wave packet* $w_{I,n}$ directly by

$$w_{I,n}(x) := (-1)^{\sum_{j=0}^{m} n_j x_{-j-k-1}} \mathbf{1}_I(x),$$

where $x=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} x_j 2^j$. If we also denote $\Omega=\big[2^k n, 2^k(n+1)\big)$, we can associate the Walsh wave packet to the rectangle $I\times\Omega$ of area 1, and write $w_{I\times\Omega}$ instead of $w_{I,n}$. Immediate properties of this system are:

(W1) $w_{I,n_1}(x)\,w_{I,n_2}(x)=w_{I,n_1\oplus n_2}(x)$ for $I\in\mathcal{D}_+$, for nonnegative integers $n_1,n_2$, and for a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

(W2) $w_{I,n}(x)\,w_{J,n}(y)=w_{I\oplus J,n}(x\oplus y)$ for $I,J\in\mathcal{D}_+$ such that $|I|=|J|$, for a nonnegative integer $n$, and for almost all $x\in I$, $y\in J$. Here we denote $I\oplus J:=\big[2^{-k}\ell, 2^{-k}(\ell+1)\big)$ if $I=\big[2^{-k}\ell_1, 2^{-k}(\ell_1+1)\big)$, $J=\big[2^{-k}\ell_2, 2^{-k}(\ell_2+1)\big)$, and $\ell=\ell_1\oplus\ell_2$.

(W3) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\big(|I|^{-1}\int_I f(t)w_{I,n}(t)dt\big)\,w_{I,n}(x)=f(x)$ for $I\in\mathcal{D}_+$, for any dyadic step function $f$ supported on $I$, and for a.e. $x\in I$. This is the Walsh-Fourier expansion of $f$ and the sum is effectively finite, i.e. only finitely many terms are nonzero.

(W4) $w_{I\times\Omega_0}=w_{I_0\times\Omega}+w_{I_1\times\Omega}$ and $w_{I\times\Omega_1}=w_{I_0\times\Omega}-w_{I_1\times\Omega}$ for any $I,\Omega\in\mathcal{D}_+$ such that $|I||\Omega|=2$.

(W5) $w_{I,0} = \mathbf{1}_I$ and $w_{I,1} = \mathbf{h}_I$ for any $I \in \mathcal{D}_+$.

One can consult [9] for more details on Walsh wave packets. The only modification is that we prefer to normalize the system in $\mathrm{L}^\infty$, rather than $\mathrm{L}^2$, which is more common.

Let us also introduce the notation

$$[f(x)]_{x,I\times\Omega} = [f(x)]_{x,I,n} := \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I f(x) w_{I,n}(x)dx$$

for a locally integrable function $f$ and for $I = \big[2^{-k}\ell, 2^{-k}(\ell+1)\big)$, $\Omega = \big[2^k n, 2^k(n+1)\big)$, $k,\ell,n \in \mathbb{Z},\ \ell, n \geq 0$. In particular, $[f(x)]_{x,I,0}$ is the ordinary average of $f$ on $I$. We want to emphasize notationally in which variable we are averaging, because we will be dealing with expressions in several variables.

In the rest of the paper we fix three real-valued dyadic step functions $F_1, F_2, F_3$ supported on $[0,1)^2$. Take a positive integer $M$ that is large enough so that $F_1, F_2, F_3$ are constant on dyadic squares with sides of length $2^{-M}$. No estimates will depend on $M$ and we only use it to keep the arguments finite. Finally, since the estimate we are proving is homogenous, we can normalize the functions by

$$\|F_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^2} = 1, \quad \|F_2\|_{\mathrm{L}^4} = \|F_3\|_{\mathrm{L}^4} = 1. \tag{3}$$

We begin to decompose $\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}$ by breaking the integrals over $[0,1)$ in $x$ and $y$ into integrals over dyadic intervals of length $2^{-k}$. Then we change $\mathbf{h}_{[0,2^{-k})}$ to $w_{[0,2^{-k}),1}$, as in (W5):

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}(F_1,F_2,F_3) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{2k} \sum_{\substack{I,J\in\mathcal{D}_+,\ I,J\subseteq[0,1)\\ |I|=|J|=2^{-k}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} F_1(x\oplus s, y\oplus t)\, F_2(x\oplus t, y)\, F_3(x,y) \\ \mathbf{1}_I(x)\mathbf{1}_J(y)\mathbf{1}_{[0,2^{-k})}(s) w_{[0,2^{-k}),1}(t)\, dxdydsdt.$$

We substitute $x_1 = x\oplus s,\ x_2 = x\oplus t,\ x_3 = x$, so that $s = x_1\oplus x_3$ and $t = x_2\oplus x_3$. Note that translation $s \mapsto x\oplus s$ preserves the Lebesgue measure and that it also preserves dyadic intervals of length $2^{-k}$. Using these facts together with Property (W2) for $n=1$, we get

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}(F_1,F_2,F_3) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} 2^{2k} \sum_{\substack{I,J\in\mathcal{D}_+,\ I,J\subseteq[0,1)\\ |I|=|J|=2^{-k}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} F_1(x_1, y\oplus x_2\oplus x_3) F_2(x_2,y) F_3(x_3,y) \\ w_{I,0}(x_1) w_{I,1}(x_2) w_{I,1}(x_3) w_{J,0}(y)\, dx_1dx_2dx_3dy.$$

By Property (W3) we can decompose

$$F_1(x_1, y\oplus x_2\oplus x_3) = \sum_{n=0}^{2^M|J|-1} [F_1(x_1,y_1)]_{y_1,J,n}\, w_{J,n}(y\oplus x_2\oplus x_3), \quad x_1,x_2,x_3\in I,\ \ y\in J.$$

Here we recall that $[F_1(x_1,y_1)]_{y_1,J,n}$ vanishes when $n \geq 2^M|J|$, because of our assumptions on $F_1, F_2, F_3$. An application of (W1) and (W2) gives

$$w_{J,n}(y\oplus x_2\oplus x_3) w_{I,0}(x_1) w_{I,1}(x_2) w_{I,1}(x_3) w_{J,0}(y) = w_{I,0}(x_1) w_{I,n\oplus 1}(x_2) w_{I,n\oplus 1}(x_3) w_{J,n}(y),$$

and since $|I\times J| = 2^{-2k}$, the form becomes

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}(F_1,F_2,F_3) = \sum_{\substack{I,J\in\mathcal{D}_+\\ I,J\subseteq[0,1)\\ |I|=|J|\geq 2^{-M+1}}} \sum_{0\leq n<2^M|I|} |I\times J| \big[\,[F_1(x_1,y_1)]_{x_1,I,0}\big]_{y_1,J,n} \\ \times \big[\,[F_2(x_2,y)]_{x_2,I,n\oplus 1}\,[F_3(x_3,y)]_{x_3,I,n\oplus 1}\big]_{y,J,n}. \tag{4}$$

## 3. Time-frequency Bellman functions

A rectangular cuboid $I\times J\times\Omega$ formed by $I,J,\Omega\in\mathcal{D}_+$ will be called a *tile* if $|I| = |J| = |\Omega|^{-1}$ and a *multitile* if $|I| = |J| = 2|\Omega|^{-1}$. We will only be interested in tiles and multitiles contained in $[0,1)^2\times[0,2^M)$. Therefore we define the collections:

$$\mathcal{T}_k := \big\{I\times J\times\Omega \text{ is a tile } :\ I,J\subseteq[0,1),\ \Omega\subseteq[0,2^M),\ |I| = 2^{-k}\big\}, \quad \mathcal{T} := \textstyle\bigcup_{k=0}^M \mathcal{T}_k,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_k := \big\{I\times J\times\Omega \text{ is a multitile } :\ I,J\subseteq[0,1),\ \Omega\subseteq[0,2^M),\ |I| = 2^{-k}\big\}, \quad \mathcal{M} := \textstyle\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-1} \mathcal{M}_k.$$

A multitile $P = I\times J\times\Omega$ can be divided "horizontally" into four tiles

$$P_{0,0} := I_0\times J_0\times\Omega, \quad P_{0,1} := I_0\times J_1\times\Omega, \quad P_{1,0} := I_1\times J_0\times\Omega, \quad P_{1,1} := I_1\times J_1\times\Omega$$

and "vertically" into two tiles

$$P^0 := I \times J \times \Omega_0, \quad P^1 := I \times J \times \Omega_1.$$

For any function of tiles $\mathcal{B}\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ we define its *first-order difference* as a function of multitiles $\square\mathcal{B}\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$(\square\mathcal{B})(P) := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\{0,1\}} \mathcal{B}(P_{\alpha,\beta}) - \sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}} \mathcal{B}(P^\gamma).$$

Note that (4) can now be rewritten as

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}} = \sum_{I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{M}} |I\times J|\, \mathcal{A}(I\times J\times\Omega), \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{A}\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(I\times J\times\Omega) := \sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}} \big[\, [F_1(x,y)]_{x,I,0} \,\big]_{y,J\times\Omega_\gamma} \big[\, [F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus 1}}\, [F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus 1}} \,\big]_{y,J\times\Omega_\gamma}.$$

This follows from the fact that $n$ and $n\oplus 1$ are two consecutive nonnegative integers and the smaller one is even, so the union of $I\times J\times \big[\frac{n}{|I|}, \frac{n+1}{|I|}\big)$ and $I\times J\times \big[\frac{n\oplus 1}{|I|}, \frac{(n\oplus 1)+1}{|I|}\big)$ constitutes a multitile from $\mathcal{M}$.

We will be writing $I\times J\times\Omega$ both for a generic tile and for a generic multitile. However, it will always be clear if the domain of the function in question is $\mathcal{T}$ or $\mathcal{M}$. In order to control $\mathcal{A}$ we need to introduce several relevant expressions. Define $\mathcal{B}_i\colon \mathcal{T}\to\mathbb{R},\ i=1,2,3,4,5$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1(I\times J\times\Omega) &:= \big[\, [F_1(x,y)]_{x,I,0} \,\big]_{y,J\times\Omega} \big[\, [F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega}\, [F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega} \,\big]_{y,J\times\Omega},\\
\mathcal{B}_2(I\times J\times\Omega) &:= \big[\, [F_1(x,y)]_{x,I,0} \,\big]^2_{y,J\times\Omega},\\
\mathcal{B}_3(I\times J\times\Omega) &:= \big[\, [F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega}\, [F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega} \,\big]^2_{y,J\times\Omega},\\
\mathcal{B}_4(I\times J\times\Omega) &:= \big[\, [F_2(x,y)]^2_{x,I\times\Omega} \,\big]^2_{y,J,0},\\
\mathcal{B}_5(I\times J\times\Omega) &:= \big[\, [F_3(x,y)]^2_{x,I\times\Omega} \,\big]^2_{y,J,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the scope of each averaging variable (i.e. $x$ or $y$) is only inside the corresponding bracket. Finally, denote

$$\mathcal{B}_- := \mathcal{B}_1 - \mathcal{B}_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_3 - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_4 - \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_5 \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{B}_+ := \mathcal{B}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_3 + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_4 + \tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_5.$$

The key local estimate is contained in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *For every $I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{M}$ we have*

$$\square\mathcal{B}_-(I\times J\times\Omega) \,\le\, \mathcal{A}(I\times J\times\Omega) \,\le\, \square\mathcal{B}_+(I\times J\times\Omega).$$

*Proof.* We need to compute the first-order difference of each $\mathcal{B}_i,\ i=1,2,3,4,5$. Let us fix some $I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{M}$. Using Property (W4) alone, one can easily verify the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
&8\textstyle\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\{0,1\}} w_{I_\alpha,0}(x_1) w_{I_\alpha\times\Omega}(x_2) w_{I_\alpha\times\Omega}(x_3) w_{J_\beta\times\Omega}(y_1) w_{J_\beta\times\Omega}(y_2)\\
&\quad - \textstyle\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}} w_{I,0}(x_1) w_{I\times\Omega_\gamma}(x_2) w_{I\times\Omega_\gamma}(x_3) w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_1) w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_2)\\
&= \textstyle\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}} w_{I,0}(x_1) w_{I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus 1}}(x_2) w_{I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus 1}}(x_3) w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_1) w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_2)\\
&\quad + \textstyle\sum_{\gamma,\delta\in\{0,1\}} w_{I,1}(x_1) w_{I\times\Omega_\delta}(x_2) w_{I\times\Omega_{\delta\oplus 1}}(x_3) w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_1) w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_2).
\end{aligned}$$

If we multiply it by $F_1(x_1,y_1)F_2(x_2,y_2)F_3(x_3,y_2)$, integrate in variables $x_1,x_2,x_3,y_1,y_2$ over $\mathbb{R}^5$, and divide the obtained expression by $|I|^3|J|^2$, we are left with

$$(\square\mathcal{B}_1)(I\times J\times\Omega) = \mathcal{A}(I\times J\times\Omega) + \underbrace{\sum_{\gamma,\delta\in\{0,1\}} \big[\, [F_1(x,y)]_{x,I,1} \,\big]_{y,J\times\Omega_\gamma} \big[\, [F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\delta}\, [F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\delta\oplus 1}} \,\big]_{y,J\times\Omega_\gamma}}_{\mathcal{A}_1(I\times J\times\Omega)}.$$

Similarly, if we start with

$$\begin{aligned}&4\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{i\in\{1,2\}}w_{I_\alpha,0}(x_i)w_{J_\beta\times\Omega}(y_i)-\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{i\in\{1,2\}}w_{I,0}(x_i)w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_i)\\&=\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{i\in\{1,2\}}w_{I,1}(x_i)w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_i),\end{aligned}$$

multiply it by $F_1(x_1,y_1)F_1(x_2,y_2)$, integrate in $x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2$, and divide by $|I|^2|J|^2$, we get

$$(\Box\mathcal{B}_2)(I\times J\times\Omega)=\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_1(x,y)]_{x,I,1}\,\big]^2_{y,J\times\Omega_\gamma}.$$

In exactly the same way, identity

$$\begin{aligned}&16\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I_\alpha\times\Omega}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J_\beta\times\Omega}(y_i)\big)\\&\quad-\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_\gamma}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_i)\big)\\&=\sum_{\gamma,\delta\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_{\delta\oplus j}}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_i)\big)\\&\quad+2\sum_{\beta,\gamma,\delta\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus i}}(x_{i,0})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_{\delta\oplus i}}(x_{i,1})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J_\beta\times\Omega}(y_i)\big)\\&\quad+\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus 1}}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J\times\Omega_\gamma}(y_i)\big)\end{aligned}$$

multiplied by $F_2(x_{0,0},y_0)F_3(x_{0,1},y_0)F_2(x_{1,0},y_1)F_3(x_{1,1},y_1)$ and integrated in $x_{0,0},x_{0,1},x_{1,0},x_{1,1},y_0,y_1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\Box\mathcal{B}_3)(I\times J\times\Omega)=&\underbrace{\sum_{\gamma,\delta\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\delta}\,[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\delta\oplus1}}\,\big]^2_{y,J\times\Omega_\gamma}}_{\mathcal{A}_2(I\times J\times\Omega)}\\&+\underbrace{\sum_{\beta,\delta\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}\,[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus\delta}}\,\big]_{y,J_\beta\times\Omega}}_{\mathcal{A}_3(I\times J\times\Omega)}\\&+\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\underbrace{\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus1}}\,[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus1}}\,\big]^2_{y,J\times\Omega_\gamma}}_{\geq0},\end{aligned}$$

while identity

$$\begin{aligned}&16\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I_\alpha\times\Omega}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J_\beta,0}(y_i)\big)\\&\quad-\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_\gamma}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J,0}(y_i)\big)\\&=2\sum_{\beta,\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus i}}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J_\beta,0}(y_i)\big)\\&\quad+\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{i,j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_\gamma}(x_{i,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J,1}(y_i)\big)\\&\quad+\sum_{\gamma,\delta,\epsilon\in\{0,1\}}\big(\prod_{j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus j}}(x_{0,j})\big)\big(\prod_{j\in\{0,1\}}w_{I\times\Omega_{\delta\oplus j}}(x_{1,j})\big)\big(\prod_{i\in\{0,1\}}w_{J,\epsilon}(y_i)\big)\end{aligned}$$

multiplied by $F_2(x_{0,0},y_0)F_2(x_{0,1},y_0)F_2(x_{1,0},y_1)F_2(x_{1,1},y_1)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}(\Box\mathcal{B}_4)(I\times J\times\Omega)=&\underbrace{\sum_{\beta\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]^2_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}\,\big]_{y,J_\beta,0}}_{\mathcal{A}_4(I\times J\times\Omega)}+\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\underbrace{\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]^2_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}\,\big]^2_{y,J,1}}_{\geq0}\\&+4\sum_{\epsilon\in\{0,1\}}\underbrace{\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_0}\,[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_1}\,\big]^2_{y,J,\epsilon}}_{\geq0}.\end{aligned}$$

The expression for $\Box\mathcal{B}_5$ has $F_2$ replaced with $F_3$:

$$(\Box\mathcal{B}_5)(I\times J\times\Omega)=\underbrace{\sum_{\beta\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_3(x,y)]^2_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}\,\big]_{y,J_\beta,0}}_{\mathcal{A}_5(I\times J\times\Omega)}+\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\underbrace{\big[\,[F_3(x,y)]^2_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}\,\big]^2_{y,J,1}}_{\geq0}$$

$$+4\sum_{\epsilon\in\{0,1\}}\underbrace{\big[\,[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_0}\,[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_1}\,\big]_{y,J,\epsilon}^2}_{\geq 0}.$$

Several terms that appear in $\Box\mathcal{B}_i$ were denoted $\mathcal{A}_j$, while some terms were noted to be nonnegative, being squares of real numbers. Consequently,

$$\Box\mathcal{B}_3+\Box\mathcal{B}_4+\Box\mathcal{B}_5\geq\mathcal{A}_2+\mathcal{A}_3+\mathcal{A}_4+\mathcal{A}_5. \tag{6}$$

An immediate application of the inequality $|ab|\leq\frac{1}{2}a^2+\frac{1}{2}b^2$ gives

$$|\mathcal{A}-\Box\mathcal{B}_1|=|\mathcal{A}_1|\leq\Box\mathcal{B}_2+\tfrac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}_2. \tag{7}$$

We will also need the inequality $|[f(y)]_{y,J_\beta\times\Omega}|\leq[|f(y)|]_{y,J_\beta,0}$ for any real-valued function $f$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}_3(I\times J\times\Omega)|&\leq\sum_{\beta,\delta\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\Big[\big|[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}\big|\,\big|[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_{\gamma\oplus\delta}}\big|\Big]_{y,J_\beta,0}\\
&\leq 2\sum_{\beta\in\{0,1\}}\prod_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}^2\,\big]_{y,J_\beta,0}^{1/2}\big[\,[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}^2\,\big]_{y,J_\beta,0}^{1/2}\\
&\leq\sum_{\beta\in\{0,1\}}\Big(\prod_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_2(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}^2\,\big]_{y,J_\beta,0}+\prod_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}\big[\,[F_3(x,y)]_{x,I\times\Omega_\gamma}^2\,\big]_{y,J_\beta,0}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|\mathcal{A}_3|\leq\mathcal{A}_4+\mathcal{A}_5. \tag{8}$$

Combining (6),(7),(8) we finally get

$$|\mathcal{A}-\Box\mathcal{B}_1|\leq\Box\mathcal{B}_2+\tfrac{1}{2}\Box\mathcal{B}_3+\tfrac{1}{2}\Box\mathcal{B}_4+\tfrac{1}{2}\Box\mathcal{B}_5,$$

which is what we needed to prove. □

## 4. Completing the proof

Let us introduce two auxiliary expressions

$$\Xi_k^+:=\sum_{I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{T}_k}|I\times J|\;\mathcal{B}_+(I\times J\times\Omega),\qquad\Xi_k^-:=\sum_{I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{T}_k}|I\times J|\;\mathcal{B}_-(I\times J\times\Omega)$$

for $k=0,1,2,\ldots,M$. By definition of the operator $\Box$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{k+1}^\pm-\Xi_k^\pm&=\sum_{I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{M}_k}\Big(\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\{0,1\}}|I_\alpha\times J_\beta|\;\mathcal{B}_\pm(I_\alpha\times J_\beta\times\Omega)-\sum_{\gamma\in\{0,1\}}|I\times J|\;\mathcal{B}_\pm(I\times J\times\Omega_\gamma)\Big)\\
&=\sum_{I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{M}_k}|I\times J|\;(\Box\mathcal{B}_\pm)(I\times J\times\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing for $k=0,1,\ldots,M-1$, using (5), and applying Lemma 2 we conclude

$$\Xi_M^--\Xi_0^-\leq\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}\leq\Xi_M^+-\Xi_0^+. \tag{9}$$

It remains to estimate $\Xi_M^\pm$ and $\Xi_0^\pm$. Recall that all cuboids $I\times J\times\Omega\in\mathcal{T}_M$ have the "frequency interval" $\Omega$ equal to $[0,2^M)$ and that $F_1,F_2,F_3$ are constant on the squares $I\times J$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_M^\pm&=\int_{[0,1)^2}F_1(x,y)F_2(x,y)F_3(x,y)\,dxdy\\
&\quad\pm\int_{[0,1)^2}\big(F_1(x,y)^2+\tfrac{1}{2}F_2(x,y)^2F_3(x,y)^2+\tfrac{1}{2}F_2(x,y)^4+\tfrac{1}{2}F_3(x,y)^4\big)\,dxdy,
\end{aligned}$$

so Hölder's inequality and (3) imply

$$|\Xi_M^\pm|\;\leq\;\|F_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}\|F_2\|_{\mathrm{L}^4}\|F_3\|_{\mathrm{L}^4}+\|F_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|F_2\|_{\mathrm{L}^4}^2\|F_3\|_{\mathrm{L}^4}^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\|F_2\|_{\mathrm{L}^4}^4+\tfrac{1}{2}\|F_3\|_{\mathrm{L}^4}^4\;=\;\tfrac{7}{2}. \tag{10}$$

On the other hand, all cuboids in $\mathcal{T}_0$ have "time intervals" $I, J$ equal to $[0,1)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_0^{\pm} = &\sum_{n=0}^{2^M-1} \big[\, [F_1(x,y)]_{x,[0,1),0}\,\big]_{y,[0,1),n} \big[\, [F_2(x,y)]_{x,[0,1),n}\, [F_3(x,y)]_{x,[0,1),n}\,\big]_{y,[0,1),n}\\ &\pm \sum_{n=0}^{2^M-1} \Big( \big[\, [F_1(x,y)]_{x,[0,1),0}\,\big]^2_{y,[0,1),n} + \tfrac{1}{2}\big[\, [F_2(x,y)]_{x,[0,1),n}\, [F_3(x,y)]_{x,[0,1),n}\,\big]^2_{y,[0,1),n}\\ &\qquad + \tfrac{1}{2}\big[\, [F_2(x,y)]^2_{x,[0,1),n}\,\big]^2_{y,[0,1),0} + \tfrac{1}{2}\big[\, [F_3(x,y)]^2_{x,[0,1),n}\,\big]^2_{y,[0,1),0}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By (W2) and (W3),

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_0^{\pm} = &\int_{[0,1)^4} F_1(x_1, x_2\oplus x_3\oplus y) F_2(x_2,y) F_3(x_3,y)\, dx_1dx_2dx_3dy\\ &\pm \Big( \int_{[0,1)^3} F_1(x_1,y)F_1(x_2,y)\, dx_1dx_2dy\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{[0,1)^5} F_2(x_1,y)F_2(x_2,x_1\oplus x_2\oplus x_3\oplus x_4\oplus y)\\ &\qquad F_3(x_3,y)F_3(x_4,x_1\oplus x_2\oplus x_3\oplus x_4\oplus y)\, dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4dy\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{[0,1)^5} F_2(x_1,y_1)F_2(x_2,y_1)F_2(x_3,y_2)F_2(x_1\oplus x_2\oplus x_3,y_2)\, dx_1dx_2dx_3dy_1dy_2\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_{[0,1)^5} F_3(x_1,y_1)F_3(x_2,y_1)F_3(x_3,y_2)F_3(x_1\oplus x_2\oplus x_3,y_2)\, dx_1dx_2dx_3dy_1dy_2\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Once again, we can use Hölder's inequality and (3) to conclude

$$|\Xi_0^{\pm}| \,\le\, \|F_1\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}\|F_2\|_{\mathrm{L}^4}\|F_3\|_{\mathrm{L}^4} + \|F_1\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\|F_2\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^4}\|F_3\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^4} + \tfrac{1}{2}\|F_2\|^4_{\mathrm{L}^4} + \tfrac{1}{2}\|F_3\|^4_{\mathrm{L}^4} \,=\, \tfrac{7}{2}. \tag{11}$$

In the end, from (9),(10),(11) we deduce

$$|\Lambda_{\mathrm{W}}(F_1,F_2,F_3)| \le 7,$$

which is exactly the estimate in Theorem 1.

## References


[1] P. Billard, *Sur la convergence presque partout des séries de Fourier-Walsh des fonctions de l'espace $L^2(0,1)$*, Studia Math. **28** (1967), 363–388.

[2] C. Demeter, *A guide to Carleson's Theorem*, available at `arXiv:1210.0886[math.CA]`.

[3] C. Demeter and C. Thiele, *On the two-dimensional bilinear Hilbert transform*, Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), no. 1, 201–256.

[4] V. Kovač, *Bellman function technique for multilinear estimates and an application to generalized paraproducts*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **60** (2011), no. 3, 813–846.

[5] ———, *Boundedness of the twisted paraproduct*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **28** (2012), no. 4, 1143–1164.

[6] M. Lacey and C. Thiele, *$L^p$ estimates on the bilinear Hilbert transform for $2 < p < \infty$*, Ann. of Math. (2) **146** (1997), no. 3, 693–724.

[7] ———, *On Calderón's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), no. 2, 475–496.

[8] C. Muscalu, T. Tao, and C. Thiele, *A Carleson type theorem for a Cantor group model of the scattering transform*, Nonlinearity **16** (2003), no. 1, 219–246.

[9] C. Thiele, *Time-frequency analysis in the discrete phase plane* (Ph.D. thesis, Yale Univ., 1995), Topics in analysis and its applications, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000.



Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
*E-mail address*: `vjekovac@math.hr`